UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN GREEN BAY DIVISION

KRISTINA HARRIS 2120 North Connies Court Appleton, Wisconsin 54914

Plaintiff, Case No.: 21-cv-1247

v. **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

FENITIAL FABRICATIONS LLC 7276 Commerce Plaza Drive Neenah, Wisconsin 54956

and

AARON SALTSMAN 7276 Commerce Plaza Drive Neenah, Wisconsin 54956

Defendants

COMPLAINT

COMES NOW Plaintiff, Kristina Harris, by her counsel, WALCHESKE & LUZI, LLC, as and for a claim against Defendants, alleges and shows to the Court as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because this case involves a federal question under the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq.* ("FLSA").
- 2. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367 because this case involves claims under Wisconsin's Wage Payment and Collection Laws

("WWPCL"), and these claims are so related in this action within such original jurisdiction that they form part of the same case or controversy under Article III of the United States Constitution.

3. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c) because Defendant(s) reside and/or operate their business in the Eastern District of Wisconsin and the unlawful employment practices of which Plaintiff complains occurred within the Eastern District of Wisconsin.

PARTIES AND COVERAGE

- 4. Plaintiff, Kristina Harris, is an adult female resident of the State of Wisconsin with a post office address of 2120 North Connies Court, Appleton, Wisconsin 54914.
- 5. Defendant, Fenitial Fabrications LLC, was, at all material times herein, a commercial entity with a principal address of 7276 Commerce Plaza Drive, Neenah, Wisconsin 54956.
 - 6. Defendant Fenitial Fabrications LLC is a fabrication company.
- 7. Defendant, Aaron Saltsman, was, at all material times herein, an individual resident of the State of Wisconsin with a principal address of 7276 Commerce Plaza Drive, Neenah, Wisconsin 54956.
- 8. Defendant Saltsman owns, operates, and manages Defendant Fenitial Fabrications LLC.
- 9. During the relevant time periods as stated herein, Defendant Fenitial Fabrications LLC was engaged in "commerce" and/or its employees were engaged in "commerce," as that term is defined under the FLSA.
- 10. During the relevant time periods as stated herein, Defendant Fenitial Fabrications LLC employed more than two (2) employees.

- 11. During the relevant time periods as stated herein, Defendant Fenitial Fabrications LLC's annual dollar volume of sales or business exceeded \$500,000.
- 12. During the relevant time periods as stated herein, Defendants were an "employer" as that term is defined under the FLSA and the WWPCL.
- 13. During the relevant time periods as stated herein, Plaintiff was "employed" by and/or an "employee" of Defendants, as these terms are defined under the FLSA and the WWPCL.
- 14. During the relevant time periods as stated herein, Defendant Saltsman was an "employer" as that term is defined under the FLSA and/or the WWPCL.
- 15. During Plaintiff's employment with Defendants, Defendant Saltsman supervised Plaintiff's day-to-day activities.
- 16. During Plaintiff's employment with Defendants, Defendant Saltsman had the ability and authority to (and actually did), hire, terminate, promote, demote, and/or suspend Plaintiff and Fenitial Fabrication LLC's other employees.
- 17. During Plaintiff's employment with Defendants, Defendant Saltsman reviewed Plaintiff's work performance.
- 18. During Plaintiff's employment with Defendants, Defendant Saltsman established the work rules, policies, and procedures by which Plaintiff abided while performing compensable work for Defendants, with Defendants' knowledge, on behalf of Defendants, and/or at Defendants' direction.
- 19. During Plaintiff's employment with Defendants, Defendant Saltsman controlled the terms and conditions of Plaintiff's employment.

- 20. During Plaintiff's employment with Defendants, Defendant Saltsman established Plaintiff's work schedule and provided Plaintiff with work assignments and hours of work.
- 21. During Plaintiff's employment with Defendants, Defendant Saltsman tracked and/or recorded Plaintiff's hours of work.
- 22. During Plaintiff's employment with Defendants, Plaintiff was engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 23. In approximately November 2019, Plaintiff commenced employment with Defendants.
- 24. During Plaintiff's employment with Defendants, Plaintiff performed compensable work in a variety of job positions and performed a variety of job duties, including but not limited to payroll, accounting, welding, fabrications, and maintenance.
- 25. During the entirety of Plaintiff's employment with Defendants, Plaintiff reported directly to Defendant Saltsman.
- 26. During the entirety of Plaintiff's employment with Defendants, Defendants compensated Plaintiff with an hourly rate of pay.
- 27. During the entirety of Plaintiff's employment with Defendants, Plaintiff was a non-exempt employee for FLSA and WWPCL purposes.
- 28. During Plaintiff's employment with Defendants, Defendants' established workweek for FLSA and/or WWPCL purposes was Thursday through Wednesday.
 - 29. In approximately October 2021, Plaintiff's employment with Defendants ended.

- 30. During the entirety of Plaintiff's employment with Defendants, Plaintiff performed compensable work on behalf of Defendants, for Defendants' benefit, at Defendants' direction, and/or with Defendants' knowledge on a weekly basis.
- 31. During Plaintiff's employment with Defendants, Plaintiff frequently worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek.
- 32. During Plaintiff's employment with Defendants, Plaintiff frequently worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek on behalf of Defendants, for Defendants' benefit, at Defendants' direction, and/or with Defendants' knowledge.
- 33. Often times during Plaintiff's employment with Defendants, Defendants simply did not compensate Plaintiff on a weekly (or bi-weekly) basis for any hours worked or work performed during said workweeks (or pay periods).
- 34. Often times during Plaintiff's employment with Defendants, Defendants simply did not compensate Plaintiff on a weekly (or bi-weekly) basis for all hours worked and/or work performed on behalf of Defendants, for Defendants' benefit, at Defendants' direction, and/or with Defendants' knowledge during said workweeks (or pay periods).
- 35. Often times during Plaintiff's employment with Defendants, Defendants suffered or permitted Plaintiff to work without compensating her for any hours worked and/or work performed on behalf of Defendants, for Defendants' benefit, at Defendants' direction, and/or with Defendants' knowledge.
- 36. Often times during Plaintiff's employment with Defendants, Defendants suffered or permitted Plaintiff to work without compensating her with an effective hourly rate of at least \$7.25 per hour for any and all hours worked or work performed each workweek.

- 37. During workweeks when Defendants did compensate Plaintiff for hours worked and/or work performed during her employment with Defendants, Defendants compensated Plaintiff at the hourly rate of \$25.00.
- 38. However, often times during Plaintiff's employment with Defendants, Defendants suffered or permitted Plaintiff to work without compensating her with her agreed-upon hourly rate of \$25.00 per hour for any and all hours worked and/or work performed each workweek on behalf of Defendants, for Defendants' benefit, at Defendants' direction, and/or with Defendants' knowledge.
- 39. During workweeks when Plaintiff worked in excess of forty (40) hours and Defendants did compensate Plaintiff for hours worked and/or work performed during her employment with Defendants, Defendants nonetheless failed to compensate Plaintiff at an overtime rate of pay for hours worked and/or work performed in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek, instead compensating Plaintiff for only forty (40) hours' worth of work performed each workweek.
- 40. During the entirety of Plaintiff's employment with Defendants, Defendants did not compensate Plaintiff at an overtime rate of pay for hours worked and/or work performed on behalf of Defendants, for Defendants' benefit, at Defendants' direction, and/or with Defendants' knowledge in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek.
- 41. During Plaintiff's employment with Defendants, Defendants failed to compensate Plaintiff with agreed-upon compensation for any and all hours worked and/or work performed each workweek on behalf of Defendants, for Defendants' benefit, at Defendants' direction, and/or with Defendants' knowledge.

- 42. During Plaintiff's employment with Defendants, Defendants suffered or permitted Plaintiff to work without appropriately and lawfully compensating her for all hours worked each workweek, including but not limited to at an overtime rate of pay for hours worked in excess of forty (40) in a workweek.
- 43. Defendants knew or should have known that Plaintiff must be compensated for all hours worked (and for all hours Defendants suffered or permitted her to work) in a workweek in accordance with the FLSA and WWPCL.
- 44. Defendants had a statutory duty to comply with the FLSA and WWPCL and to remedy FLSA and WWPCL violations of which they were aware and/or of which they should have been aware.
- 45. Defendants owe Plaintiff earned and unpaid wages for work performed during the entirety of Plaintiff's employment with Defendants for which Plaintiff was not properly and lawfully compensated, in a total amount that remains to be determined, plus an equal amount for liquidated damages, and in addition to attorneys' fees and costs.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION – FLSA VIOLATIONS (MINIMUM WAGE)

- 46. Plaintiff reasserts and incorporates by reference all paragraphs set forth above as if restated herein.
- 47. Section 206(a)(1) of the FLSA regulates, among other things, the payment of an minimum wage by employers whose employees are engaged in commerce, or engaged in the production of goods for commerce, or employed in an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce.
- 48. At all times material herein, Plaintiff was entitled to the rights, protections, and benefits provided under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq*.

- 49. Defendants intentionally violated the FLSA by failing to compensate Plaintiff with an effective hourly rate of at least \$7.25 per hour for all hours worked each workweek during her employment with Defendants.
- 50. Defendants' failure to properly and legally compensate Plaintiff for all compensable work performed at a minimum rate of pay was willfully perpetrated. Defendants have neither acted in good faith nor with reasonable grounds to believe that their actions and omissions were not a violation of the FLSA, and as a result thereof, Plaintiff is entitled to recover an award of liquidated damages in an amount equal to the amount of unpaid minimum wages as described above pursuant to Section 216(b) of the FLSA. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendants acted reasonably and with good faith in failing to pay minimum wages, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of pre-judgment interest at the applicable legal rate.
- 51. As a result of the aforesaid willful violations of the FLSA's provisions, minimum wages have been unlawfully withheld from Plaintiff by Defendants.
- 52. Plaintiff is entitled to damages equal to the minimum wages due and owing to her within the three (3) years preceding the date of filing of this Complaint, ECF No. 1, plus periods of equitable tolling because Defendants acted willfully and knew or showed reckless disregard of whether their conduct was prohibited by the FLSA and otherwise engaged in wrongful conduct that prevented Plaintiff from asserting her claims against Defendants.
- 53. Pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), successful Plaintiffs are entitled to reimbursement of the costs and attorneys' fees expended in successfully prosecuting an action for unpaid wages.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION – FLSA VIOLATIONS (OVERTIME PAY)

- 54. Plaintiff reasserts and incorporates by reference all paragraphs set forth above as if restated herein.
- 55. Section 207(a)(1) of the FLSA regulates, among other things, the payment of an minimum wage by employers whose employees are engaged in commerce, or engaged in the production of goods for commerce, or employed in an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce.
- 56. At all times material herein, Plaintiff was entitled to the rights, protections, and benefits provided under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq*.
- 57. Defendants intentionally violated the FLSA by failing to compensate Plaintiff with an overtime rate of pay for hours worked in excess of forty (40) in a workweek.
- 58. Defendants' failure to properly and legally compensate Plaintiff for all compensable work performed at an overtime rate of pay was willfully perpetrated. Defendants have not acted in good faith and with reasonable grounds to believe that their actions and omissions were not a violation of the FLSA, and as a result thereof, Plaintiff is entitled to recover an award of liquidated damages in an amount equal to the amount of unpaid overtime wages as described above pursuant to Section 216(b) of the FLSA. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendants acted reasonably and with good faith in failing to pay minimum wages, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of pre-judgment interest at the applicable legal rate.
- 59. As a result of the aforesaid willful violations of the FLSA's provisions, overtime wages have been unlawfully withheld from Plaintiff by Defendants.
- 60. Plaintiff is entitled to damages equal to the minimum wages due and owing to her within the three (3) years preceding the date of filing of this Complaint, ECF No. 1, plus periods

of equitable tolling because Defendants acted willfully and knew or showed reckless disregard of whether their conduct was prohibited by the FLSA and otherwise engaged in wrongful conduct that prevented Plaintiff from asserting her claims against Defendants.

61. Pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), successful Plaintiffs are entitled to reimbursement of the costs and attorneys' fees expended in successfully prosecuting an action for unpaid wages.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION – WWPCL VIOLATIONS (MINIMUM WAGES)

- 62. Plaintiff reasserts and incorporates by reference all paragraphs set forth above as if restated herein.
- 63. At all times material herein, Plaintiff was an employee of Defendants within the meaning of Wis. Stat. § 109.01(1r), Wis. Stat. §103.001(5), and Wis. Stat. §104.01(2)(a).
- 64. At all times material herein, Defendants were employers of Plaintiff within the meaning of Wis. Stat. § 109.01(2), Wis. Stat. §103.001(6), Wis. Stat. §104.01(3)(a), and Wis. Admin. Code § DWD 272.01(5).
- 65. At all times material herein, Defendants employed Plaintiff within the meaning of Wis. Stat. §§109.01 *et seq.*, 103.01 *et seq.*, 104.01 *et seq.*, and Wis. Admin. Code § DWD 272.01.
- 66. At all times material herein, Plaintiff regularly performed activities that were an integral and indispensable part of her principal activities without receiving compensation for these activities at the hourly rate of \$7.25.
- 67. Defendants willfully and intentionally violated the WWPCL by failing to compensate Plaintiff with an effective hourly rate of at least \$7.25 per hour for all hours worked each workweek during her employment with Defendants.

- 68. As set forth above, Plaintiff sustained losses in compensation as a proximate result of Defendants' violations. Accordingly, Plaintiff seeks damages in the amount of her unpaid compensation, injunctive relief requiring Defendants to cease and desist from its violations of the Wisconsin laws described herein and to comply with them, and such other legal and equitable relief as the Court deems just and proper. Under Wis. Stat. § 109.11, Plaintiff may be entitled to liquidated damages equal and up to fifty percent (50%) of the unpaid wages.
- 69. Plaintiff seeks recovery of attorneys' fees and the costs of this action to be paid by Defendants, pursuant to the WWPCL.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION – WWPCL VIOLATIONS (OVERTIME PAY)

- 70. Plaintiff reasserts and incorporates by reference all paragraphs set forth above as if restated herein.
- 71. At all times material herein, Plaintiff was an employee of Defendants within the meaning of Wis. Stat. § 109.01(1r), Wis. Stat. §103.001(5), and Wis. Stat. §104.01(2)(a).
- 72. At all times material herein, Defendants were employers of Plaintiff within the meaning of Wis. Stat. § 109.01(2), Wis. Stat. §103.001(6), Wis. Stat. §104.01(3)(a), and Wis. Admin. Code § DWD 272.01(5).
- 73. At all times material herein, Defendants employed Plaintiff within the meaning of Wis. Stat. §§109.01 *et seq.*, 103.01 *et seq.*, 104.01 *et seq.*, and Wis. Admin. Code § DWD 272.01.
- 74. At all times material herein, Plaintiff regularly performed activities that were an integral and indispensable part of her principal activities without receiving compensation for these activities, including but not limited to at an overtime rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a workweek

- 75. Defendants willfully and intentionally violated the WWPCL by failing to compensate Plaintiff at an overtime rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a workweek during her employment with Defendants.
- 76. As set forth above, Plaintiff sustained losses in compensation as a proximate result of Defendants' violations. Accordingly, Plaintiff seeks damages in the amount of her unpaid compensation, injunctive relief requiring Defendants to cease and desist from its violations of the Wisconsin laws described herein and to comply with them, and such other legal and equitable relief as the Court deems just and proper. Under Wis. Stat. § 109.11, Plaintiff may be entitled to liquidated damages equal and up to fifty percent (50%) of the unpaid wages.
- 77. Plaintiff seeks recovery of attorneys' fees and the costs of this action to be paid by Defendants, pursuant to the WWPCL.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION – WWPCL VIOLATIONS (FAILURE TO PAY AN AGREED-UPON WAGE)

- 78. Plaintiff reasserts and incorporates by reference all paragraphs set forth above as if restated herein.
- 79. At all times material herein, Plaintiff was an employee of Defendants within the meaning of Wis. Stat. § 109.01(1r), Wis. Stat. §103.001(5), and Wis. Stat. §104.01(2)(a).
- 80. At all times material herein, Defendants were employers of Plaintiff within the meaning of Wis. Stat. § 109.01(2), Wis. Stat. §103.001(6), Wis. Stat. §104.01(3)(a), and Wis. Admin. Code § DWD 272.01(5).
- 81. At all times material herein, Defendants employed Plaintiff within the meaning of Wis. Stat. §§109.01 *et seq.*, 103.01 *et seq.*, 104.01 *et seq.*, and Wis. Admin. Code § DWD 272.01.

- 82. At all times material herein, Plaintiff regularly performed activities that were an integral and indispensable part of her principal activities without receiving compensation for these activities at her previously agreed-upon hourly rate of pay of \$25.00 with Defendants.
- 83. During Plaintiff's employment with Defendants, Defendants failed to compensate Plaintiff for all hours worked and work performed, including but not limited to with agreed-upon wages as defined in Wis. Stat. § 109.01(3), by failing to compensate her with her agreed-upon hourly rate of \$25.00 for any and all hours worked and work performed during her employment with Defendants, in violation of the WWPCL.
- 84. The foregoing conduct, as alleged above, constitutes willful violations of the WWPCL.
- 85. As set forth above, Plaintiff sustained losses in compensation as a proximate result of Defendants' violations. Accordingly, Plaintiff seeks damages in the amount of her unpaid compensation, injunctive relief requiring Defendants to cease and desist from its violations of the Wisconsin laws described herein and to comply with them, and such other legal and equitable relief as the Court deems just and proper. Under Wis. Stat. § 109.11, Plaintiff may be entitled to liquidated damages equal and up to fifty percent (50%) of the unpaid wages.
- 86. Plaintiff seeks recovery of attorneys' fees and the costs of this action to be paid by Defendants, pursuant to the WWPCL.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court:

1. Order Defendants to make Plaintiff whole by providing reimbursement for unpaid

wages - including but not limited to minimum wages, overtime wages, and agreed-

upon wages – for pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, and for all times spent

performing compensable work for which Plaintiff was not properly paid by

Defendants under the FLSA and WWPCL;

2. Grant to Plaintiff liquidated damages against Defendants;

3. Grant to Plaintiff attorneys' fees, costs, and disbursements as provided by statute; and

4. Grant to Plaintiff whatever other relief this Court deems necessary and proper.

PLAINTIFF DEMANDS A JURY AS TO ALL TRIABLE ISSUES

Dated this 26th day of October, 2021

WALCHESKE & LUZI, LLC Counsel for Plaintiff

s/ Scott S. Luzi

James A. Walcheske, State Bar No. 1065635 Scott S. Luzi, State Bar No. 1067405

WALCHESKE & LUZI, LLC 235 N. Executive Drive, Suite 240 Brookfield, Wisconsin 53005 Telephone: (262) 780-1953

Fax: (262) 565-6469

E-Mail: jwalcheske@walcheskeluzi.com E-Mail: sluzi@walcheskeluzi.com